

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			WW. W. Carlotter		
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/965,273	09/27/2001	Mamoru Hosoya	09792909-5192	3440	
	590 01/21/2004	EXAMINER			
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			BOS, STEVEN J		
WACKER DRI CHICAGO, IL	VE STATION, SEARS TO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
omenco, il	00000-1000		1754		
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/965,273	HOSOYA ET A	L.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Steven Bos	1754				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address			
- External control con	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum source to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICA FION. 1s of 37 CFR 1.136 1munication. (30) days, a reply w statutory period will	(a). In no event, however, may a vithin the statutory minimum of thi apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered tin NTHS from the mailing date of this	nely. communication.			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>28 Nov</u>	<u>rember 2003.</u>					
	= 1.4 .4		ction is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	n for allowand lice under <i>Ex</i>	e except for formal mati parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	ers, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or e	lection requirement.					
	on Papers							
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)∏ accept	ed or b) Objected to I	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[]]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority w	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	_						
* Se 13) \(\sum \) Ac	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority o	documents had documents had be the priority nal Bureau (Pon for a list of the compession of the documents of the compession of the documents o	ave been received. ave been received in Appleon of the control of the control of the certified copies not received.	eceived in this National	Laurette (C.)			
0,	O. 1. 1.70.				⊔aτa Sneet.			
a) 1∡\□ ^^	The translation of the foreign land	guage provisi	onal application has be	en received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
		-1	<u></u> a		OI IX 1.70.			
ttachment(s) ☐ Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892)		, FT .					
) 🔛 Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paj	O-948) per No(s) <i>111020</i>	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s rmal Patent Application (PTO	i) -152)			
. Patent and Trad		· (-/ <u>~</u>	J Otilei.	•				

Application/Control Number: 09/965,273

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims 1,2, "greater than zero, but" is new matter.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barker '033.

Barker suggests the use of Li3PO4 and Fe3(PO4)2 and carbon as starting materials which are mixed, milled and heated, ie. sintered, to form the instantly claimed LiFePO4. See cols. 4,5,6,7,12, example 1. Col. 7, first paragraph teaches that the heating occurs under vacuum which is not patentably distinct from the now instantly claimed "greater than zero" oxygen concentration. A vacuum would contain almost no oxygen.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,273

Art Unit: 1754

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549.

Applicant's arguments filed November 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments have been responded to above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Steven Bos

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754

sjb January 9, 2004